

#	Line, Section, Table, Entire Document, etc.	Comment	Commenter	State or Organization	Disposition (Completed By Subteam)	Actions(s) (Completed by Subteam)	Key Participant(s) (Completed by Subteam)
Ex.	Lines 122-156	<i>Please be as descriptive as possible. Where applicable, please propose revised or replacement text. Please ensure that comments focus on the substance of the document. Changes in grammar, punctuation, and word-choice should only be proposed if they significantly alter the meaning of the text.</i> <i>For those not using OneDrive, comments should be submitted via e-mail, to sylau@hko.gov.hk. Thank you, in-advance, for your input.</i>	S. Albersheim	U.S.			
1	2.1, a.1	Resolution of satellite imageries. It should be noted that mentioned values for geostationary satellites are true close to equator. Closer to the poles, the resolution gets worse	Anna Ivanova	Russia	Scientific edit. Accepted.		
2	2.1, 2.1.1(2)	Radar and lightning data. Could you please clarify "lightning data" - is it from satellite or lightning detectors?	Anna Ivanova	Russia	Scientific edit. To be updated.		
3	2.2 (3) a.1	Is it possible to reduce the number of model levels from 50 to 40 (present resolution of COSMO models)?	Anna Ivanova	Russia	scientific edit. To be discussed.		
4	2.2.(3) a.3	Model accuracy. RMSE at 500 mb is not quite representative characteristic to estimate significant weather prediction	Anna Ivanova	Russia	scientific edit. To be discussed.		
5	2.1-2.2	How soon "desirable capabilities" (yellow) will be transformed into "requirements"? Maybe it is better to remove "desirable" characteristics?	Anna Ivanova	Russia	scientific edit. To be discussed.		
6							
7	2.2.2 b	as RHWCs will communicate globally, good language proficiency is important. Therefore, at least one forecaster on duty should be fluent in english, according to ICAO level 4 , or it's WMO equivalent.	Klaus Sievers	IFALPA	scientific edit. To be discussed.		
8	3.1.1. c	Sentence seems incomplete	Klaus Sievers	IFALPA	Tech edit. To be updated.		
9	3.1.1. c	Good and reliable internationam/regional responsibility ?	Stéphanie Desbios	France	Tech edit. To be updated.		
10	4.1.2. b	This consideration might not be feasible in some Regions where the air traffic density is high everywhere. Suggest to add "if feasible"	Stéphanie Desbios	France	scientific edit. To be discussed.		
11	2.2.3 (2)	The desirable capability is not clear. In this part, 'digital' means IWXXM format. So shouldn't it be "... to automatically generate the digital advisory (in IWXXM format) based on the graphical advisory" ? From our experience in France, the forecaster generates the hazardous weather information as a graphical product and the system he/she uses automatically generates the textual product and the digital one (IWXXM) based on the input by the forecaster.	Stéphanie Desbios	France	scientific edit. To be discussed.		

12	2.1.1 (2) (a)	The difference between the requirements and the desirable capability is not clear	Fabien Masson & Philippe Husson	France	administrative comment. To be discussed.		
13	2.2.1 (4)	Suggest to change "desirable capability" in "requirement". It seems meaningless to provide functional requirements without selection considerations	Fabien Masson & Philippe Husson	France	scientific edit. To be discussed.		
14	3.1.2 (a)	Suggest to change "desirable capability" in "selection consideration". ISO 9001 certification provides better guarantees and seems necessary for a regional responsibility	Fabien Masson & Philippe Husson	France	scientific edit. To be discussed.		
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							